

## Dataset Parameters at HZB

Heike Görzig  Rolf Krahl 

ICAT F2F Meeting, 03 May 2023, Berlin



# Problem Statement & Motivation

- Lacking standardization of parameters for search accross facilities.
- PaNOSC search portal defines only very limited set of parameters.
- Goal: work towards a common PaN Metadata Standard that could for instance also be exposed via OAI-PMH.
- ICAT schema imposes one global list of parameter names within the facility.

ParameterType attributes (selection):

Field	Type
pid	String [255]
name	String [255] NOT NULL
units	String [255] NOT NULL
valueType	ParameterValueType NOT NULL
description	String [255]
unitsFullName	String [255]

## NeXus hierarchical structure:

```

entry : NXentry
  instrument : NXinstrument
    source : NXsource
      current_set : NX_FLOAT64 = Current
      @units = "mA"
      type : NX_CHAR = "Synchrotron X-ray Source"
      probe : NX_CHAR = "x-ray"
      name : NX_CHAR = "BESSY II"
      current : NX_UINT32 = Ringcurr
      @units = "mA"
    monochromator : NXmonochromator
      energy : NX_FLOAT = 850
      @units = "eV"
    si_detector : NXdetector
      short_name : NX_CHAR = "si_detector"
      type : NX_CHAR = "si diode"
      data : NX_FLOAT64 = 0
      @units = "V"
    apd_detector : NXdetector
      type : NX_CHAR = "APD"
      short_name : NX_CHAR = "APD detector"
      channel_1 : NX_FLOAT64 = 0
      @units = "V"
      channel_2 : NX_FLOAT64 = 0
      @units = "V"
      channel_3 : NX_FLOAT64 = 0
      @units = "V"

```

- The NeXus project is working on a NeXus ontology.
- All elements of the NeXus vocabulary (appdefs, base classes, groups, fields, etc.) have their own individual concept attached.
- This ontology may (in the future) help to translate queries into some canonical form.

## Considerations:

- Same parameter should use the same type accross instruments.
- Need some naming convention that works for all the instruments at the facility.
- Standardize units: don't use multiple parameter types having different units for (semantically) the same parameter.

# Use NeXus Path as Parameter Name

## Suggestion:

- Use the flattened NeXus path as parameter name.
- Ideally, this would require NeXus application definitions for all experiments.
- Fall back to basic NeXus classes where application definitions are not (yet) available.
- Add a numerical suffix if needed to disambiguate multiple instances having otherwise the same path.
- Use a dedicated name space for NeXus parameter types.
- Stick to SI units (with a very few exceptions), don't use SI prefixes.
- Set the NeXus ontology IRI in the pid attribute.

## Example dataset parameter:

type.name	value	type.units
nxs/entry/instrument/insertion_device/gap	0.029040	m
nxs/entry/instrument/insertion_device/shift	0.012834	m
nxs/entry/instrument/monochromator/energy_set	863.05	eV
nxs/entry/instrument/slitwidth/x_gap	0.00015000	m
nxs/entry/instrument/detector_1/type	si diode	N/A
nxs/entry/instrument/detector_2/type	APD	N/A
nxs/entry/instrument/detector_2/short_name	APD detector	N/A
nxs/entry/instrument/detector_2/flux	1.1699e+12	Hz



- Should we aim for standardization within the ICAT project?
- Other suggestions?
- The transition from NeXus base classes to application definition may be an issue.
- Need to seek cooperation and harmonization with other projects, e.g. NOMAD.
- How to deal with parameters that do not fit into NeXus definitions?